# MARDS Erasmus+ Tirana February 2020 2nd Bilateral Meeting | Montenegro -Albania | Polytechnic University of Tirana





#### 2. Meeting contents

#### The overall meeting outcomes met my expectations



l received relevant information about progress and preparation for accreditation from UNISHK



I received relevant information about the progress and activities from Polytechnic University

10 responses





## I received relevant information on project activities progress and dissemination from Metropolitan University

10 responses



### I received relevant information on the project progress from University of Donja Gorica





Meeting conclusions provided useful overview of general project progress and activities



#### I received relevant information by MASR report

MARDS Erasmus+ Tirana February 2020 2nd Bilateral Meeting | Montenegro - Albania | Polytechnic University of Tirana





I will inform my colleagues about the meeting and its content.

10 responses



The meeting format (duration, size, group of participants, etc.) met my

#### 02/03/2020



3. Meeting event organization and management



### General organization during the meeting





The information (on tasks, materials for the training etc.) received before the meeting from the meeting coordinator

10 responses



The coordinator facilitates communication and collaboration between partners. Everyone was encouraged to contribute to the discussion. 10 responses





#### 4. Quality of Project partnership



MARDS Erasmus+ Tirana February 2020 2nd Bilateral Meeting | Montenegro - Albania | Polytechnic University of Tirana



5. General impression

Please write at least two strengths (positive aspects) of conducted meeting <sup>8 responses</sup>

Networking, good cooperation business comunity with academic comunity

Helpful, constructive

na

Some useless discussions take to much time instead of dedicating much more time to essential challenges of the implementation of the MARDS project.

Organization and communication and conclusions

Well understanding

Very Good. Main Coordinator very well prepared for this meeting.

- Good progress of the project - Preparation of curricula

Please write at least two weaknesses of this meeting

6 responses

na

Much more orientation from the coordinator should be given to the essential part of the project.

Every participant representing partner Universities in the meeting should have an equal time for the respective institution presentation.

Some discussions

NA

Some small disagrees between partners. Lack of time up-to the application to MASR.

- Disputes between partners - Not trying very hard to find solutions on the problems raised

What should be taken into account for the next meeting / suggestions?

6 responses

na

Better management of time and equal rights to each participant and going to implement the main details of the MARDS projects as it is written in the application form.

Proceed as per project definition

Definition of project framework by all the albanian partners

None

Stop the disputed between partners

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy.

### Google Forms