

1. Meeting organization

Information (about travel, accommodation etc.) received before the meeting from host partner, responding in time



General organization during the meeting





Goals of the project and workpackages were explained well.

25 responses



How would you rate the quality of the presentations from the lead partner and other partners?

25 responses



The role of your organization in the project was very well explained.

25 responses



Do you know what is required of you for the next phase of the project (up to the next project meeting)?





The perceived commitment to the project by each partner (fulfilling the responsibilities set out at this project meeting) is very high



Communication amongst partners was effective

25 responses



Development of teamwork and positive attitudes was evident



The information (on tasks, materials for the meeting etc.) received before the meeting from the coordinator

25 responses



The coordinator facilitated understanding of the objectives and work plan for the next period

25 responses

MARDS Erasmus+ February 2019 Kick off Meeting Questionnaire



The coordinator facilitates communication and collaboration between partners. Everyone was encouraged to contribute to discussion.



The meeting has been prepared and managed in the most (resource) efficient way in order to make best use of the available meeting time

25 responses



How satisfied are you with the overall communication amongst the partners to date?



Please write at least two strengths (positive aspects) of this project meeting

22 responses

good organisation

Different parties involved and they meet each other, shared ideas and have had very fruitful conversations.

Communication between all partners and coordinator has been established from the first moment, contributing in having a very fruitful kickoff meeting and collaboration in future collaboration.

Good communication, excellent organization and management

Everything was explained very well from the coordinator and organization of the meeting was excellent.

1. Good coordination of the project 2. clear objectives

Got to meet everyone in person, familiarize with the project goals

The work related to the Work-packages of the 1st year was clarified and we were clear about the following steps

Clearly explained upcoming work plan, Formed teams for each WP

time keeping; sticking to the agenda

good communication between partners clear agreement on the division of tasks and the following steps to be taken

Very well organized. Enough time to work on each WP.

general ideas of the project and challenges well defined

Defined work plan for the next period, detailed description of the management issues

There was a positive energy (felt) to achieve the goals of the project among most partners; and it is very important that the relevant ministries participated and seem to support the project - which is absolutely crucial for the success of the project (and it is also one of the biggest challenges)

Friendly, positive atmosphere. Few very motivated persons.

meeting with new people, new knowledge in the field of doctoral studies

Positive working atmosphere; committed project partners

All good.

concrete discussions, presence of all relevant stakeholders

1. In depth understanding of project objectives and the role of partners.

2. Motivation for pushing forward the project.

Very well prepared overview of the project. Possibility to communicate with the member of the project. Good communication among participants during the meeting.

Please write at least two weaknesses of this project meeting

16 responses

maybe more time for discussion

The main objectives of the project are not quite clear to me.

I haven't seen any.

Some rules for the reimbursement of travel and accommodation should explained before the meeting and also the list of the documents to be delivered to the coordinator for the occurred expenses.

1. difficult to follow discussion 2. web site not clearly understanding - should be improved

The legal framework of the law for Higher Education Institutions needs to be clarified in order to meet the aimed results of the project.

lack of common understanding, equal involvement of all Partners (some are very silent)

participants were not given an opportunity to briefly present themselves at the beginning of meeting

Nothing to tell.

commitment of some WP leaders to own WP(s) weak

Some activities were not clear enough but that was explained during the meeting

There seemed to be lack of commitment or enthusiasm shown from all participants from Montenegro and Albania - not much discussion, limited interest shown during the discussions. In addition, the most ambitious part of the project will be connected to accreditation of new joint doctoral programmes/ schools, which is totally depended on relevant ministries. It was also clear that the institutions that are going to establish new joint doctoral programmes/ schools do not have many clear ideas about the challenges and obstacles how to create such programmes/ schools. Lots of work needs to be done in order to clarify these steps and to fulfill the project objectives.

There were some partners who were not participating the whole two/days of the meeting.

Reporting and financial aspects were not explained properly;

I haven't identified any weakness of that meeting

Maybe additional day would be good to have since the project is complex.

What should be taken into account for the next meeting / suggestions?

18 responses

more managment issues

It seems that the term Doctoral school should be substituted by some more appropriate formulation, but so far I haven't any suggestion regarding this issue.

To continue like the first meeting.

Participation of the representative(s) of the Ministry of Education of Montenegro

Each partner should be informed clearly about the tasks and what they have to present and not to be changed or informed at the very last minute.

To make a common and shareable Google document, which is evident to all and in which we can see what is the next step together with deadlines.

It should be taken into account the existing level of doctoral studies in Albania that should be part of the overall comments and discussions of the meeting required by the end of May in Kotor.

more work in smaller groups

different procedures and practices at different institutions should be taken into account

Nothing.

clear WP goals/deliverables with strict deadlines

Next meeting - the conference at the beginning of June 12019 - has to discuss not only the report (stateof-the-art) about doctoral education and its trends, but mainly the establishment of two planned joint doctoral programmes - the consortium should use any opportunity to discuss the creation of these schools - which will be the most demanding task of the project.

One day meetings are more effective.

Concretization of plans related to doctoral schools.

Better time management

Presence of all non-academic partners

My only concern is finding the opportunity and justify the reasons for making changes in the legislation, so we can advance easily with the project in Albania.

Everything was good, very well organized.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service

